WASHINGTON STATE Recreation and Conservation Office

Inspection Report

PENINSULA METROPOLITAN PARK DISTRICT DEMOLAY SAND SPIT, 08/08/23

Current Status: Accepted

Inspection Details

Inspection Type: Compliance

Inspected By: CPopek

Inspection Method: Desk & Field Review

Inspection Date & Time: 08/08/23 09:30 AM

Data Sources: RCO project file, sponsor webpage, county assessor webpage, online aerial maps, in-person inspection

Inspection Reference #: 3660

Inspection Note:

Compliance Area: Peninsula Metropolitan Park District DeMolay Sand Spit

Worksites Inspected

Peninsula Metropolitan Park District

Project # / Proj Type	Project Name / WorkSite Name	Status	Primary Pgm
10-1271	DeMolay Property Acquisition	Closed Completed	WWRP - WA
Acq	#1 DeMolay Property		

Sponsor Contacts

Peninsula Metropolitan Park District

Person Name	Project Role	Phone Number	Email Address
Ally Bujacich	Project Contact		executivedirector@penmetparks.org

Questions

All Projects

#1: Function - Does the site continue to function as originally approved and as reflected in the project agreement(s)? If no or unsure, describe how or why.

Yes.

#2: Worktypes (or Elements) - Are the workypes (or elements) funded by the grant in conformance with the project agreement? If no or unsure, describe the worktypes (or elements) that are missing, no longer functioning as intended, or obsolete.

Yes.

#3: Missing Worktypes (or Elements) - If there are missing or non-functioning worktypes (or elements), do they negatively affect the function of the project overall? If no, process a minor element change. If yes, describe the negative effects and process a major element change.

N/A.

#4: Project Area - Does the project area continue to function as originally intended without impairment from other public or private non-project related uses? If no, describe the non-project related uses in the project area.

Yes.

#5: Indoor Facilities - Is the project area free of ineligible indoor facilities? If no, describe the ineligible indoor facilities in the project area.

No. An original structure at the time of acquisition remains on the property. It was indicated to RCO at the time of acquisition that this indoor structure would be removed. Please contact RCO's compliance specialist, Chris Popek, at christopher.popek@rco.wa.gov to discuss the current plans and use for the structure.

#6: Ineligible Facilities - If there is an ineligible facility in the project area, has it been approved by RCO?

No.

#7: Funding Acknowledgement - Are the funding programs and partners acknowledged at the site? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

Yes.

#8: Funding Acknowledgement - If the acknowledgement sign is missing, has the sign requirement been waived by RCO? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

#9: Management - Does the project sponsor manage and operate the project area? If no, identify the site operator or concessionaire. This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

Yes.

Acquisition Projects

#1: Property Boundary - Is the property (or the 6f boundary) intact without the appearance of any property rights conveyed for non-project related uses? If no, describe the potential property interests conveyed for non-project uses.

Yes. After RCO file review and site visit, the project area appears to be intact. Please refer to the “Project Boundary Map" attachment, if sponsor has any discrepancies, please contact RCO’s compliance specialist Chris Popek at christopher.popek@rco.wa.gov.

#2: Property Ownership - Is the property still owned by the project sponsor?

Yes.

#3: Property Ownership - If the property is no longer owned by the project sponsor, is it owned by an entity eligible to receive a grant in the funding program(s)? For yes or no, identify who now owns the property.

N/A.

#4: Interim Uses - For acquisition projects that have not yet been developed or restored as originally intended, are the interim uses of the project in agreement with grant program policies? If no, describe the interim uses and whether they have been previously approved by RCO.

N/A.

#5: Future Development or Restoration - For acquisition projects acquired for future development or restoration, has the site been developed or restored within 5 years? If yes, describe how the site has been developed or restored.

Yes. The property has been developed into an access point for the Puget Sound and includes picnicking furniture, trails, and access to the beach.

All Acq projects and RCFB Dev and Rst projects

#1: Public Use - Is the project area available for public use? If no, describe how the area is not available for public use. This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

Yes. The project area is open and available for public use.

#2: Public Use - If there is no public use, has it been waived by RCO? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

#3: Public Use - If there is a public use restriction in the project area, will it last 180 days or less? This question does not apply to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

Acquisition projects with conservation easements

#1: Conservation Easement Terms - Are the terms of the farmland, habitat, or recreation conservation easement being met? If no, describe the potential easement issues? This question only applies to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

#2: Conservation Easement Monitoring - Has the project sponsor submitted an easement monitoring report at least once in the last five years? If yes, identify the date of the report and the PRISM attachment number. This question only applies to acquisition projects with conservation easements.

N/A.

Attachments

ALL PHOTOS AND FILES

Attachment Type	Title	File Name	User, Attach Date
Map: Boundary map – Final	10-1271 Approx Acq Project Boundary.pdf	10-1271 Approx Acq Project Boundary.pdf	ChristopherP, 08/14/2023
Inspection Photos	8.8.2023 Compliance Inspection- Pen Met DeMeloy Property.pdf	8.8.2023 Compliance Inspection- Pen Met DeMeloy Property.pdf	ChristopherP, 08/14/2023

Issues/Tasks From This Inspection

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor
771	Pen Met DeMeloy Sand Spit Ineligible Structure	Compliance Issue	Ineligible Structures	Open	CPopek	#3660: Peninsula Metropolitan Park District DeMolay Sand Spit, 8/8/23, 10-1271 Acq, Compliance, Popek, Christopher, PenMetINSPECTION DeMolay Property Acquisition (10-1271 Acq)PROJECT	PenMet

Other Open Issues/Tasks

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor