WASHINGTON STATE Recreation and Conservation Office

Inspection Report

PENINSULA METROPOLITAN PARK DISTRICT DEMOLAY SAND SPIT, 01/16/15

Current Status: Draft

Inspection Details

Inspection Type: Final
Inspected By: KarlJ
Inspection Method: Desk & Field Review
Inspection Date & Time: 01/16/15 07:30 PM
Data Sources: PRISM
Inspection Reference #: 263
Compliance Area: Peninsula Metropolitan Park District DeMolay Sand Spit
Inspection Note:

Worksites Inspected

Peninsula Metropolitan Park District

Project # / Proj Type	Project Name / WorkSite Name	Status	Primary Pgm
10-1271 / Acq	DeMolay Property Acquisition / #1 DeMolay Property	Closed Completed	WWRP - WA

Sponsor Contacts

Peninsula Metropolitan Park District

Person Name	Project Role	Phone Number	Email Address
Eric Guenther, Peninsula Metropolitan Park	Project Contact	(253) 858-3400 Ext 6	eguenther@penmetparks.org
Kiko Freeman, Rec. and Conserv. Office	MAgy Fiscal Contact	(360) 725-3938	kiko.freeman@rco.wa.gov
Terry Lee, Peninsula Metropolitan Park	Alt Project Contact	(253) 858-3400	TLee@PenMetParks.org

Questions

Accessibility

#1: Are there accessible routes of travel to developed site features?

No. Acquisition of existing developed property. Although the site's topography will impose some challenges, PenMet should upgrade for accessibility as funding becomes available for development of this property.

A walking trail has been cleared from behind the old bunk house down to the water and picnic tables, but it does not yet have any surfacing and appears to be too steep.

#2: Are enough developed features ADA accessible?

N/A. Acquisition grant.

#3: Are ADA improvements adequately signed?

N/A. Acquisition grant.

Current Use

#1: Are there any non-recreational uses occuring within the project boundary?

No.

Parking

#1: If parking is provided, is the minimum number of accessible van and car spaces provided? (1-25=1, 26-50=2, 51-75=3, 76-100=4, 101-150=5)

N/A. Parking is available on the street near the main gate. An upper grass parking area is signed but not developed and the gate was closed.

#2: Is there at least one 8-foot wide van accessible space with an 8-foot wide access aisle on a hard level surface with a van sign for every six accessible spaces required?

N/A.

Signs

#1: Are there signs that clearly note whether the site is a public recreation, conservation, habitat, or salmon recovery site?

Yes. Appears to be a typo on the park welcome sign - it reads "Sandpit" instead of Sand Spit.

#2: Are the funding programs and partners acknowledged at the site?

No. RCO will mail a sign to PenMet to post at the property.

Boundaries

#1: Are park or site boundaries clearly identified?

Yes.

General

#1: Is the site attractive and inviting to the public?

Yes. Old house on property is posted to keep out. There is a hole in the roof and it appears that this structure should be demolished.

#2: Does the site appear to be developed in a safe and quality manner?

N/A.

#3: Are project elements and features in the agreement available for public use?

Yes.

#4: Is the project site open to the public at reasonable hours, days, or times of the year?

Yes.

Attachments

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF)

ALL PHOTOS AND FILES

Attachment Type	Title	File Name	User, Attach Date
Photo	Sand Spit	P1040290.jpg	KarlJ, 01/21/2015
Inspection Photos	PenMet-DeMolay-Final Inspection Photos-1.16.15	PenMet-DeMolay-Final Inspection Photos-1.16.15.pptx	KarlJ, 01/21/2015

Issues/Tasks From This Inspection

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor

Other Open Issues/Tasks

#	Issue/Task Title	Type	SubType	Status	Assigned	Relationships	Sponsor